



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,832	08/31/2001	Lawrence Jacobs	OR01-03301	3411	
22835 7:	590 01/19/2005	EXAMINER			
c/o A. RICHARD PARK, REG. NO. 41241 PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 FIFTH STREET			CHOI, V	CHOI, WOO H	
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA 95616			2186		

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/944,832	JACOBS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Woo H. Choi	2186			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. since period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>91-141</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	<u></u>					
6)⊠						
·						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/944,832

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 91 – 99, 101 – 103, 109 – 116, 119 – 120, 122, 124 – 128, 130 – 132, 134, 136 – 139 and 141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,370,620, hereinafter "Wu").

With respect to claims 91 - 93, 98, 112, 137 and 138, Wu discloses a method of caching a data object, comprising:

receiving at a first cache of a plurality of cooperating caches a first data object of a domain of data objects (figure 6);

if said first data object is owned by the first cache, storing said first data object as primary content in the first cache (figure 2, object 104 is stored); and

if said first data object is owned by another cache in the plurality of caches, determining on the basis of a set of dynamic criteria whether to store said first data object as secondary content in the first cache (figure 3, figure 3, 203 and figure 4, 305, determining whether to store or discard a non-assigned partition object in the local LRU stack is based on the membership in

the LRU cache, which changes dynamically, for example, if a requested object is member, it is kept in the cache, if not and the non-assigned objected is at the bottom of the stack, it is discarded);

wherein said first data object is owned by one and only one of the plurality of caches (figure 5, step 404, see also col. 1, lines 36-61); and wherein

wherein a ratio between primary content and secondary content in the first cache is allowed to fluctuate (the ratio changes every time an inserted object does not replace an object of the same type).

3. With respect to claims 94, 113, 116, 126, and 141, Wu discloses a method of caching data objects in a plurality of cooperating caches, comprising:

partitioning a set of data objects among a plurality of cooperating caches, wherein each of said caches receives ownership of a subset of said data objects (col. 1, lines 36 - 61);

caching one or more data objects of a first subset of said data objects at a first cache having ownership of said first subset (abstract and figure 2);

caching one or more data objects of a second subset of said data objects at the first cache, wherein a second cache in the cluster owns said second subset (abstract and figure 2);

receiving at the first cache a first request for a first data object in said second subset of data objects (figure 5, see step 405);

receiving said first data object from the second cache (figure 6, 501); and caching said first data object at the first cache only if said first data object satisfies one or more of a predetermined set of criteria (one criterion for caching is that the object be requested,

27).

another one is that it is received by the cache, yet another one is that it does not satisfy the conditions for removal from the local LRU, see figure 2 and col. 3 lines 24 - 52).

With respect to claims 127 and 136, Wu discloses a method of caching data objects in a 4. plurality of cooperating caches, comprising:

partitioning a domain of data objects among a plurality of cooperating caches, wherein a first cache receives ownership of a first subset of said data objects (figure 2, col. 1, lines 36 – 61);

caching one or more members of said first subset of data objects at the first cache (figure 2, 104);

caching one or more members of a second subset of data objects at the first cache, wherein a second cache owns said second subset of data objects (figure 2, 103, 106); and removing a first cached data object from said first cache, wherein said first data object is identified by applying a predetermined set of criteria (106, figure 4, see also col. 8, lines 13 –

- 5. With respect to claims 95, 114, 117, 128 and 139, said set of dynamic criteria includes a popularity of said first data object (col. 4, lines 25 - 31, non-assigned partition object only stays in cache if it is frequently accessed, i.e. popular, see also col. 8, lines 17 - 19).
- 6. With respect to claims 96, 122 and 134, set of dynamic criteria includes a utilization of the first cache (as discussed above, frequently utilized objects are kept in the cache).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,832 Page 5

Art Unit: 2186

first data object (col. 8, lines 22 - 24).

7. With respect to claim 97, 102, 119 and 130, set of dynamic criteria includes a size of said

8. With respect to claim 99, said one or more characteristics include popularity;

wherein said popularity is measured as one or more of:

a number of requests for said cached data object; and

a frequency of requests for said cached data object (col. 8, lines 17 - 19).

9. With respect to claims 101, 120 and 131, said one or more criteria include age (figure 4, 305, the object at the bottom of the LRU list, i.e. least recently used or oldest in the access list, is removed).

- 10. With respect to claims 103 and 132, said one or more criteria include ownership (figure 4, 303, 306, 305).
- 11. With respect to claim 109, the method further comprises:

exchanging a configuration of the plurality of cooperating caches between the first cache and a second cache (figure 5, 402 - 405, caches must have configuration information of other caches to operate in a manner described in figure 5, for example, a cache must have information on other caches to determine the proper owner of an object and forward a request to the right

owner, also CARP protocol, col. 1, lines 36-60, defines a Proxy Membership Table, containing configuration information for cooperating caches, that is used by the array of caches).

- 12, With respect to claims 110, 111, 124 and 125, the method further comprises:

 re-configuring ownership of the domain of data objects in response to the removal and addition of a cache from the plurality of cooperating caches (figure 7, col. 5, lines 9 17).
- 13. With respect to claim 115, said caching said first data object comprises caching said first data object if the first cache has capacity to cache said first data object without first removing another data object (figure 4, steps 301 and 304).
- Claims 91, 98, 105 107, 112, 113, 126, 127, 136, 137 and 141 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dias *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,317,778, hereinafter "Dias").
- 15. With respect to claims 91, 98, 112, 113, 126, 127, 136, 137, and 141, Dias discloses a method of caching a data object, comprising:

receiving at a first cache of a plurality of cooperating caches a first data object of a domain of data objects (figure 3);

if said first data object is owned by the first cache, storing said first data object in the first cache (figure 1B, 197, and figure 3, 340); and

if said first data object is owned by another cache in the plurality of caches, determining on the basis of a set of dynamic criteria whether to store said first data object in the first cache

(figure 2, replacement/duplication decision is based on calculated desirability values, col. 4, lines 41 - 54, cache objects are duplicated in multiple caches, i.e. non-primary owners can cache objects, col. 5, lines 19 - 23, metrics are used to dynamically adjust and improve performance); wherein said first data object is owned by one and only one of the plurality of caches (figure 1B, 196 and 197).

16. With respect to claims 105 - 107, one or more criteria include utilization of server, cache, and network I/O resources between a cache array and a server cluster (col. 4, lines 62 - 67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claims 98, 113, 118, 127 and 129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan *et al.* (US Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0026560, hereinafter "Jordan") in view of Challenger *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,266,742, hereinafter "Challenger").
- 19. With respect to claim 113, Jordan discloses a method of caching data objects in a plurality of cooperating caches, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/944,832

Art Unit: 2186

partitioning a set of data objects among a plurality of cooperating caches, wherein each of said caches receives ownership of a subset of said data objects (figure 2A);

caching one or more data objects of a first subset of said data objects at a first cache having ownership of said first subset (page 3, paragraph 27);

caching one or more data objects of a second subset of said data objects at the first cache, wherein a second cache in the cluster owns said second subset;

receiving at the first cache a first request for a first data object in said second subset of data objects;

receiving said first data object from the second cache (page 3, paragraph 27, see also page 5, paragraph 38, request forwarding cache server receives and caches objects owned by other servers)

However, Jordan does not specifically disclose caching of said first data object at the first cache only if said first data object satisfies one or more of a predetermined set of criteria. On the other hand, Challenger discloses a conditional caching algorithm (abstract, figure 4, steps 440 – 480).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Jordan and Challenger before him at the time the invention was made, to use the conditional caching teachings of web caching system of Challenger in the web caching system of Jordan, in order to be able to balance the expense of caching with the benefits (Challenger, col. 2, lines 38 – 40).

20. With respect to claim 98, 118, 127 and 129, said predetermined set of criteria includes a validity of said first data object (col. 4, lines 24 – 24, invalidate objects are removed, i.e. not cached, metrics or criteria are dynamically calculated, see figure 4).

21. Claims 104, 121 and 133 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Challenger.

Wu discloses all of the limitations of the parent claims as discussed above. However, Wu does not specifically disclose that said one or more criteria include a cost of retrieving said cached data object from one of an origin server and a second cache in the plurality of caches. On the other hand, Challenger discloses a conditional caching method that includes a cost of retrieving in a caching decision (abstract, time to calculate and/or fetch the object is one of the metrics used to make caching decisions, see also figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Wu and Challenger before him at the time the invention was made, to use the conditional caching teachings of web caching system of Challenger in the web caching system of Wu, in order to be able to balance the expense of caching with the benefits (Challenger, col. 2, lines 38 – 40).

22. Claims 108, 123, 135 and 140 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Wang (US Patent Application Pub. No 2002/0184368).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,832 Page 10

Art Unit: 2186

Wu discloses all of the limitations of the parent claim as discussed above. However, Wu does not specifically disclose propagation of invalidation of said first data object between the first cache and a second cache. On the other hand, Wang discloses a method of propagating web cache invalidation messages among web cache servers (page 6, paragraphs 154 - 157).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Wu and Wang before him at the time the invention was made, to use the multi-level hierarchical service network that invalidates caches using a directory information routing protocol teachings of the web caching system of Wang in the web caching system of Wu, in order to help maintain the cache freshness for a hierarchical content delivery network (page 1, paragraph 1).

Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments filed on December 3, 2004, regarding patentability of newly added limitations, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Fluctuation of the ratio between two types of objects cached is inherent in the prior art references that can cache two types of objects. The ratio changes every time a new entry is inserted without replacing an object of the same type.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/944,832

Art Unit: 2186

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Woo H. Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4179. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 12, 2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100